

Harold Welsberg  
Rt/ 12, Frederick, Md. 21701  
12/12/75

Mr. Henry Wade,  
District Attorney  
Dallas, Texas

Dear Henry,

Sorry I can't dictate this letter to my wife, to make it more legible, but I've got phlebitis and she has to carry too heavy a load. I'm so far behind in what I must do that were it not that you have always been so friendly I'd not let everything else go and write you now. It is in the spirit of friendliness that I do write.

I've just received a copy of your interview with Jim Brigrance of AP as it appeared in the Houston Chronicle of the 7th. While I have no doubt about your honesty or sincerity, I'm questioning your wisdom in continuing to make statements of this nature at this time. They may come back to haunt you and your family. My unsolicited advice is that you resort to some other formulation, like I had no accused to take to court or this is now a matter for others, not me. And don't take any more firm positions.

You'd never have convicted Oswald and made it stick. I tried to tell you this when I was last there. If I ever get a lecture engagement that brings me there I'll ask you to take an evening off so I can lay it all out for you. I now have a lecture bureau. Unlike those who have received major attention, I've worn my grindstone out with my nose. Believe me or not, there is no reasonable doubt. My work has carried me and irrefutable fact much farther than when I was last down there and invited you to see what by then I had developed but had not been able to afford to publish.

I suppose it ~~was~~ was your reputation for honesty and diligence but I can only guess. In any event, you were the focus of all the forces intent upon covering up what could be covered up and of the political needs perceived at the time of the JFK assassination. The local police deceived you, the FBI did this and withheld from you and I now by a series of successful suits have what the Warren Commission discussed when the members expected perpetual secrecy. I also have much of what the Bureau suppressed and I do expect more. I've used 5 U.S.C. 552 as nobody else has and the yield of this and of those opting to give me the suppressed ~~as~~ <sup>developed</sup> as an alternative is considerable.

All of what I have ~~developed~~ <sup>developed</sup> served to victimize you at the outset and to fix your mind. With what would have crumbled in court. But nobody ever levelled with you and you lacked independent means of learning the fact.

Belin's recent change of position was not spontaneous. I had a contract for a debate with him at Vanderbilt University. Despite the phlebitis I ~~kept~~ <sup>kept</sup> it although I couldn't wear shoes on the way back and had to have special treatment on the plane. What I did was first dare all those my newest book accused of perjury and its subordination to appear before any Congressional committee with both of us subject to perjury. Then I laid out to his face Belin's personal corruption of the evidence he handled plus his personal suppression of the contrary evidence, the more probative. Then he read the new evidence I have in Post Mortem. In three days, despite his self-serving language, he came out for a Congressional investigation. He personally made it appear that Oswald was at the scene of both crimes by corruption and by suppression of the probative contrary evidence.

I've been suing the Bureau for the scientific evidence. They are stonewalling but having been forced to give me some I can tell you it is exculpatory. The case is now on appeal. I have this and much else neither you nor the Warren Commission had, I expect much more, and I forced the early retirement of the "expert" who then could not be ~~used~~ used as the government's representative in any sworn statement. I have accused him of perjury as recently as yesterday and as much as five years ago and he has been silent.

More than a year ago I proved it in open court. He was not there to defend himself. The Bureau put up no defense. There was neither ~~cross~~ cross-examination nor rebuttal.

This is only part of it. There is worse. As soon as your local police started doing foolish things that worried you Hoover moved in and took over. He even boxed the Warren Commission in. I can give you their recognition of this, their fear of bucking him and their recognition of his having prevented their holding anything that could be called an investigation. In their own words. I got it by suit. It had been TOP SECRET. This and other relevant deliberations were in such secrecy the Commission didn't trust its staff to be present or to have access to copies of the transcript.

Meanwhile, I have also acquired a pretty fair file of suppressed evidence all of which is consistent on framing and on conspiracy and none of it can be connected with Oswald.

It is so bad there is no single truthful account of any of JFK's wounds in the Warren Report. Not even their location.

This may all sound far out to you. For now I can ask only that you take me on faith and say nothing more that can haunt you. If you are ever up here with some time or I can get down there when you are free I'll lay it all out for you.

My work has not developed to the point where there is the kind of case you could take to court. There are cases you would not want to and would hurt you.

Meanwhile, there is the bitterest internecine warfare going on inside the federal government on this.

If you can tell me anything about the leak of the Oswald note to Hosty, it could be helpful to me. I am aware that it was not done until after Shanklin resigned and I have some suspicions about the source. Knowing more could help me figure more out and perhaps develop more fact.

Regardless, please consider what I say and take it seriously. You'll find out in time that it is true.

Best wishes,

Harold Weisberg